

# An umbral symbolic characterization of Riordan arrays

José Agapito Ruiz

(CELC - Universidade de Lisboa)

XVIII Incontro Italiano di Combinatoria Algebrica, 2012

Matera, Italy

September 10, 2012

## Abstract

**Riordan arrays** are **infinite lower triangular complex valued-matrices** that have been applied to a wide range of subjects, from Computer Science to Combinatorial Physics, in connection with **combinatorial identities**, **recurrence relations**, **walk problems**, **asymptotic approximation** and the **problem of normal ordering for boson strings**, among other relevant topics. The traditional way of approaching Riordan arrays is by means of **generating functions**.

I will present in this talk a **promising alternative characterization** of Riordan arrays based on a **symbolic renewed approach to umbral calculus**. A deep generalization of an **Abel's identity for polynomials** is a key tool in this symbolic approach.

This talk is based on joint work with **Ângela Mestre** (CELC), **Pasquale Petruccio** (Università degli studi della Basilicata) and **Maria Manuel Torres** (CELC).

# Contents

- 1 Riordan arrays
- 2 A renewed approach to the classical umbral calculus
- 3 An umbral symbolic approach to Riordan arrays. The umbral Abel identity
- 4 A family of Catalan arrays

# Outline

- 1 Riordan arrays
- 2 A renewed approach to the classical umbral calculus
- 3 An umbral symbolic approach to Riordan arrays. The umbral Abel identity
- 4 A family of Catalan arrays

# Examples

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

**P** : Pascal array

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & & & & \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 14 & 14 & 9 & 4 & 1 & & \\ 42 & 42 & 28 & 14 & 5 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

**C** : Ballot array

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 2 & 1 & & & & & \\ 5 & 4 & 1 & & & & \\ 14 & 14 & 6 & 1 & & & \\ 42 & 48 & 27 & 8 & 1 & & \\ 132 & 165 & 110 & 44 & 10 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

**C**<sup>(1)</sup> : Catalan array

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 15 & 25 & 10 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

**S** : Stirling array of 2nd. kind

# Three typical presentations for Riordan arrays

$R = (g, f)$	$g$	$f$	$R_{n,k}$
exponential	$1 + g_1 z + g_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + g_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots$	$f_1 z + f_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + f_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots$	$\left[ \frac{z^n}{n!} \right] \left( g(z) \frac{f(z)^k}{k!} \right)$
ordinary	$1 + g_1 z + g_2 z^2 + g_3 z^3 + \dots$	$f_1 z + f_2 z^2 + f_3 z^3 + \dots$	$\left[ z^n \right] \left( g(z) f(z)^k \right)$
generalized	$1 + g_1 \frac{z}{w_1} + g_2 \frac{z^2}{w_2} + g_3 \frac{z^3}{w_3} + \dots$	$f_1 \frac{z}{w_1} + f_2 \frac{z^2}{w_2} + f_3 \frac{z^3}{w_3} + \dots$	$\left[ \frac{z^n}{w_n} \right] \left( g(z) \frac{f(z)^k}{w_k} \right)$

Table : Traditional description of Riordan arrays

[umbral view](#)

$(w_n)$ : sequence of nonzero numbers.

# Three typical presentations for Riordan arrays

$R = (g, f)$	$g$	$f$	$R_{n,k}$
exponential	$1 + g_1 z + g_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + g_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots$	$f_1 z + f_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + f_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots$	$\left[ \frac{z^n}{n!} \right] \left( g(z) \frac{f(z)^k}{k!} \right)$
ordinary	$1 + g_1 z + g_2 z^2 + g_3 z^3 + \dots$	$f_1 z + f_2 z^2 + f_3 z^3 + \dots$	$\left[ z^n \right] \left( g(z) f(z)^k \right)$
generalized	$1 + g_1 \frac{z}{w_1} + g_2 \frac{z^2}{w_2} + g_3 \frac{z^3}{w_3} + \dots$	$f_1 \frac{z}{w_1} + f_2 \frac{z^2}{w_2} + f_3 \frac{z^3}{w_3} + \dots$	$\left[ \frac{z^n}{w_n} \right] \left( g(z) \frac{f(z)^k}{w_k} \right)$

Table : Traditional description of Riordan arrays

▸ umbral view

$(w_n)$ : sequence of nonzero numbers.

# Examples (ordinary type presentation)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

**P** : Pascal array  $\left(\frac{1}{1-z}, \frac{z}{1-z}\right)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\ 14 & 14 & 9 & 4 & 1 \\ 42 & 42 & 28 & 14 & 5 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

**C** : Ballot array  $\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4z}}{2z}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4z}}{2}\right)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 4 & 1 \\ 14 & 14 & 6 & 1 \\ 42 & 48 & 27 & 8 & 1 \\ 132 & 165 & 110 & 44 & 10 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

**C**<sup>(1)</sup> : Catalan array  $\left(\frac{1-2z-\sqrt{1-4z}}{2z^2}, \frac{1-2z-\sqrt{1-4z}}{2z}\right)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 15 & 25 & 10 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

**S** : Stirling array of 2nd. kind  $\left(1, \frac{e^z-1}{z}\right)$



# Examples (exponential type presentation)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

**P** : Pascal array ( $e^z, z$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & & & & \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 14 & 14 & 9 & 4 & 1 & & \\ 42 & 42 & 28 & 14 & 5 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

**C** : Ballot array ( $?, ?$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 2 & 1 & & & & & \\ 5 & 4 & 1 & & & & \\ 14 & 14 & 6 & 1 & & & \\ 42 & 48 & 27 & 8 & 1 & & \\ 132 & 165 & 110 & 44 & 10 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

**C**<sup>(1)</sup> : Catalan array ( $?, ?$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 15 & 25 & 10 & 1 & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

**S** : Stirling array of 2nd. kind ( $1, e^z - 1$ )

# Fundamental theorem of Riordan arrays (FTRA)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two **exponential** generating functions; that is

$$A(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + a_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + b_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

and let  $(g(z), f(z))$  be a Riordan array. Then

$$(g(z), f(z)) \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \iff g(z) A(f(z)) = B(z).$$

Note that the composition  $A(f(z))$  is well defined since  $f$  has zero constant term:

$$f(z) = f_1 z + f_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + f_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

# Fundamental theorem of Riordan arrays (FTRA)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two **exponential** generating functions; that is

$$A(z) = 1 + a_1 z + a_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + a_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + b_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

and let  $(g(z), f(z))$  be a Riordan array. Then

$$(g(z), f(z)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \iff g(z) A(f(z)) = B(z).$$

Note that the composition  $A(f(z))$  is well defined since  $f$  has zero constant term:

$$f(z) = 1z + f_2 \frac{z^2}{2!} + f_3 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

## Example (FTRA in action)

Stirling numbers of 2nd. kind  $S(n, j)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 15 & 25 & 10 & 1 & \\ & & & \dots & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 52 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Bell numbers  $B_n$

$$(1, e^z - 1) \cdot e^z = 1 \cdot e^{[e^z - 1]} = e^{[e^z - 1]} = B(z) .$$

Row sums:  $\sum_{j=0}^n S(n, j) = B_n .$

## Example (FTRA in action)

Stirling numbers of 2nd. kind  $S(n, j)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 15 & 25 & 10 & 1 & \\ & & & \dots & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 52 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Bell numbers  $B_n$

$$(1, e^z - 1) \cdot e^z = 1 \cdot e^{[e^z - 1]} = e^{[e^z - 1]} = B(z) .$$

Row sums:  $\sum_{j=0}^n S(n, j) = B_n .$

Let  $(g, f)$  and  $(h, l)$  be two Riordan arrays. Consider the *multiplication*

$$(g(z), f(z)) (h(z), l(z)) = (g(z)h(f(z)), l(f(z))). \quad (1)$$

The Riordan array  $(1, z)$  is the *identity* with respect to multiplication (1).

$g_0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow g$  has mult. inverse  $g^{-1}$ .

$f_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow f$  has comp. inverse  $f^{(-1)}$ ; i.e,  $f(f^{(-1)}(z)) = f^{(-1)}(f(z)) = z$ .

A Riordan array  $(g, f)$  is invertible w.r.t. (1) and its *inverse* is given by

$$(g(z), f(z))^{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{g(f^{(-1)}(z))}, f^{(-1)}(z) \right),$$

The *Riordan group*  $\mathfrak{Rio}$  is the set of all invertible Riordan arrays, together with multiplication (1) as the group operation.

# Some distinguished Riordan subgroups

1. The **Appell** or **Toeplitz** subgroup:  $\{(g(z), z)\}$ .
2. The **Associated** or **Lagrange** subgroup:  $\{(1, f(z))\}$ .
3. The **Bell**, **Renewal** or **Rogers** subgroup:  $\{(g(z), zg(z))\}$ .

# Some distinguished Riordan subgroups

1. The **Appell** or **Toeplitz** subgroup:  $\{(g(z), z)\}$ .
2. The **Associated** or **Lagrange** subgroup:  $\{(1, f(z))\}$ .
3. The **Bell**, **Renewal** or **Rogers** subgroup:  $\{(g(z), zg(z))\}$ .

$$\mathfrak{Rio} = \mathfrak{Appell} \times \mathfrak{Associated}$$

$$\mathfrak{Rio} = \mathfrak{Appell} \times \mathfrak{Bell}$$



# Outline

- 1 Riordan arrays
- 2 A renewed approach to the classical umbral calculus
- 3 An umbral symbolic approach to Riordan arrays. The umbral Abel identity
- 4 A family of Catalan arrays

# Umbræe

① a commutative integral domain  $R$  with identity 1.  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ .

② a set  $A = \{\alpha, \gamma, \omega, \dots\}$  of umbræe, called *alphabet*.

③ a linear functional  $E: R[A] \rightarrow R$  called *evaluation* such that

▶  $E[1] = 1$  and  $p \in R[A]$  is called *umbral polynomial*.

▶  $E[\alpha^i \gamma^j \cdots \omega^k] = E[\alpha^i] E[\gamma^j] \cdots E[\omega^k]$  (*uncorrelation*)

④ two special umbræe:  $\varepsilon$  (*augmentation*) and  $v$  (*unity*) such that

$$E[\varepsilon^n] = \delta_{0,n} \quad \text{and} \quad E[v^n] = 1,$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

# Two equivalence relations

- $\omega$  **represents** a sequence  $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$  if  $E[\omega^n] = w_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We say that  $w_n$  is the  $n$ -th *moment* of  $\omega$ . **Assume  $w_0 = 1$ .**
- *umbral equivalence*:  $\omega \simeq \gamma \iff E[\omega] = E[\gamma]$ .
- *similarity*:  $\omega \equiv \gamma \iff E[\omega^n] = E[\gamma^n], \forall n \geq 0$ .

## Two equivalence relations

- $\omega$  **represents** a sequence  $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$  if  $\omega^n \simeq w_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We say that  $w_n$  is the  $n$ -th *moment* of  $\omega$ . **Assume  $w_0 = 1$ .**
- *umbral equivalence*:  $\omega \simeq \gamma \iff E[\omega] = E[\gamma]$ .
- *similarity*:  $\omega \equiv \gamma \iff E[\omega^n] = E[\gamma^n], \forall n \geq 0$ .

**Key feature:** It is convenient to assume that each sequence  $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $R$  can be represented by infinitely many similar umbrae. This fact is called *saturation*.

# Generating function

The **generating function** of  $\omega$  is the exponential formal series

$$e^{\omega z} := v + \sum_{n \geq 1} \omega^n \frac{z^n}{n!} \in R[A][[z]],$$

so that  $E[e^{\omega z}] = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} w_n \frac{z^n}{n!} =: f_\omega(z) \in R[[z]]$ .

We shall write  $e^{\omega z} \simeq f_\omega(z)$ . We have  $\omega \equiv \gamma \iff e^{\omega z} \simeq e^{\gamma z}$ .

# Some distinguished umbrae

umbra	$\omega$	$e^{\omega z}$	$\omega^n$
augmentation	$\varepsilon$	1	1, 0, 0, ...
unity	$v$	$e^z$	1, 1, 1, ...
singleton	$\chi$	$1 + z$	1, 1, 0, ...
Bell	$\beta$	$e^{e^z - 1}$	1, $B_2, B_3, \dots$ ( $B_n$ : Bell numbers)
Bernoulli	$\iota$	$\frac{z}{e^z - 1}$	1, $b_1, b_2, \dots$ ( $b_n$ : Bernoulli numbers)
boolean unity	$\bar{v}$	$\frac{1}{1-z}$	1!, 2!, 3!, ... ( $n!$ : factorial numbers)
Catalan	$\varsigma$	$\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4z}}{2z}$	$C_1, 2!C_2, 3!C_3, \dots$ ( $C_n$ : Catalan numbers)

Table : Some distinguished umbrae.

# Some useful auxiliary umbrae

- The dot product of umbrae

$$\gamma \cdot \alpha \quad , \quad f_{\gamma \cdot \alpha}(z) = f_{\gamma}(\log f_{\alpha}(z))$$

- ▶ composition umbrae  $\gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha$  ,  $f_{\gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha} = f_{\gamma}(f_{\beta}(f_{\alpha}(z) - 1))$

- ▶ compositional inverse of an umbra  $\gamma^{(-1)}$  ,  $\gamma^{(-1)} \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma \equiv \chi \equiv \gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{(-1)}$

- Derivative umbrae

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{D}} \quad , \quad \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^n \simeq n \alpha^{n-1} \quad , \quad f_{\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}}(z) = 1 + z f_{\alpha}(z)$$

# $(A/\equiv, +, \cdot)$ is **almost** a right distributive ring

1  $(A/\equiv, +)$  is an abelian group.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha + \eta \equiv \eta + \alpha & \text{and} & \alpha + \varepsilon \equiv \alpha \equiv \varepsilon + \alpha \\ (\alpha + \eta) + \gamma \equiv \alpha + (\eta + \gamma) & & \alpha + (-1 \cdot \alpha) \equiv \varepsilon \equiv (-1 \cdot \alpha) + \alpha \end{array}$$

2  $(A/\equiv, \cdot)$  is a monoid.

$$\alpha \cdot (\eta \cdot \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \cdot \eta) \cdot \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \cdot v \equiv \alpha \equiv v \cdot \alpha$$

3 The scalar product.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1\alpha \equiv \alpha & \text{and} & r(\alpha + \eta) \equiv r\alpha + r\eta \\ r(s\alpha) \equiv (rs)\alpha & & (r + s)\alpha \equiv r\alpha + s\alpha \end{array}$$

4 The right distributive laws. distinct umbrae

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\alpha + \eta) \cdot \gamma \equiv \alpha \cdot \gamma + \eta \cdot \gamma & \text{and} & \alpha \cdot (r\eta) \equiv r(\alpha \cdot \eta) \\ \gamma \cdot (\alpha + \eta) \not\equiv \gamma \cdot \alpha + \gamma \cdot \eta & & \alpha \cdot (r\eta) \not\equiv (r\alpha) \cdot \eta \end{array}$$

Other useful identities:

$$\alpha \cdot \varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon \cdot \alpha \quad , \quad \beta \cdot \chi \equiv v \equiv \chi \cdot \beta \quad , \quad r\alpha \equiv \alpha \cdot (rv) \quad .$$



# $(A/\equiv, +, \cdot)$ is almost a right distributive ring

- 1  $(A/\equiv, +)$  is an abelian group.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha + \eta \equiv \eta + \alpha & \text{and} & \alpha + \varepsilon \equiv \alpha \equiv \varepsilon + \alpha \\ (\alpha + \eta) + \gamma \equiv \alpha + (\eta + \gamma) & & \alpha + (-1 \cdot \alpha) \equiv \varepsilon \equiv (-1 \cdot \alpha) + \alpha \end{array}$$

- 2  $(A/\equiv, \cdot)$  is a monoid.

$$\alpha \cdot (\eta \cdot \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \cdot \eta) \cdot \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \cdot v \equiv \alpha \equiv v \cdot \alpha$$

- 3 The scalar product.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1\alpha \equiv \alpha & \text{and} & r(\alpha + \eta) \equiv r\alpha + r\eta \\ r(s\alpha) \equiv (rs)\alpha & & (r + s)\alpha \equiv r\alpha + s\alpha \end{array}$$

- 4 The right distributive laws. distinct umbrae

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\alpha + \eta) \cdot \gamma \equiv \alpha \cdot \gamma + \eta \cdot \gamma & \text{and} & \alpha \cdot (r\eta) \equiv r(\alpha \cdot \eta) \\ \gamma \cdot (\alpha + \eta) \not\equiv \gamma \cdot \alpha + \gamma \cdot \eta & & \alpha \cdot (r\eta) \not\equiv (r\alpha) \cdot \eta \end{array}$$

Other useful identities:

$$\alpha \cdot \varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon \cdot \alpha \quad , \quad \beta \cdot \chi \equiv v \equiv \chi \cdot \beta \quad , \quad r\alpha \equiv \alpha \cdot (rv) \quad .$$

**MR2022347 (2004k:05029)** 05A40 (05A10 05A19 11B65 11B68 11B73)**Gessel, Ira M.** (1-BRND)**Applications of the classical umbral calculus. (English summary)**

Dedicated to the memory of Gian-Carlo Rota.

*Algebra Universalis* **49** (2003), no. 4, 397–434.

Umbral calculus has its roots in nineteenth century invariant theory. In the twentieth century, its use spread to combinatorial applications as invariant theory faded.

This thirty-eight page survey provides the reader with a full appreciation of the power and value of the subject. The titles of the sections provide a clear picture of the applications considered: Introduction, The classical umbral calculus, Charlier polynomials, Hermite polynomials, Carlitz and Zeilberger's Hermite polynomials, Bernoulli numbers, Kummer congruences, Median Genocchi numbers and Kummer congruences for Euler and Bell numbers.

The idea of the umbral calculus is deceptively simple. As the author points out, in the umbral calculus, the expression  $(a + 1)^n$  represents the sum  $\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} a_i$ . In the last half of the twentieth century, G.-C. Rota put the whole subject on a rigorous foundation by basing the subject on linear functionals.

One portion of the introduction is especially noteworthy. "When I first encountered umbral notation it seemed to me that this was all there was to it; it was simply a notation for dealing with exponential generating functions, or to put it bluntly, it was a method for avoiding the use of exponential generating functions when they really ought to be used. The point of this paper is that my first impression was wrong; none of the results proved here ( . . . ) can be easily proved by straightforward manipulation of exponential generating functions."

There has not been a book devoted to the umbral calculus published recently; S. M. Roman's book, [*The umbral calculus*, Academic Press, New York, 1984; [MR0741185 \(87c:05015\)](#)], appeared in 1984. This compelling paper could well be expanded into an appealing book on this topic.

Reviewed by *George E. Andrews*

One portion of the introduction is especially noteworthy. “When I first encountered umbral notation it seemed to me that this was all there was to it; it was simply a notation for dealing with exponential generating functions, or to put it bluntly, it was a method for avoiding the use of exponential generating functions when they really ought to be used. The point of this paper is that my first impression was wrong; none of the results proved here (...) can be easily proved by straightforward manipulation of exponential generating functions.”

Reviewed by [George E. Andrews](#)

# Outline

- 1 Riordan arrays
- 2 A renewed approach to the classical umbral calculus
- 3 An umbral symbolic approach to Riordan arrays. The umbral Abel identity
- 4 A family of Catalan arrays

# Umbral presentation for Riordan arrays

$R$	$R_{n,k}$
exponential	$\binom{n}{k} (\gamma + k.\alpha)^{n-k}$
ordinary	$\frac{(\gamma + k.\alpha)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$
generalized	$\frac{w_n}{w_k} \frac{(\gamma + k.\alpha)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$

Table : Umbral description of Riordan arrays

► traditional view

$\omega$ : umbra with moments  $\omega^n \simeq \frac{n!}{w_n}$ ,  $w_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $w_0 = 1$ .

# Umbral presentation for Riordan arrays

$R$	notation	$R_{n,k}$
exponential	$v(\gamma, \alpha)$	$\binom{n}{k} (\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}$
ordinary	$\bar{v}(\gamma, \alpha)$	$\frac{(\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$
generalized	$\omega(\gamma, \alpha)$	$\frac{w_n}{w_k} \frac{(\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$

Table : Umbral description of Riordan arrays

► traditional view

$\omega$ : umbra with moments  $\omega^n \simeq \frac{n!}{w_n}$ ,  $w_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $w_0 = 1$ .

# Umbral presentation for Riordan arrays

$R$	notation	$R_{n,k}$
exponential	$(\gamma, \alpha)$	$\binom{n}{k} (\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}$
ordinary	$\bar{v}(\gamma, \alpha)$	$\frac{(\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$
generalized	$\omega(\gamma, \alpha)$	$\frac{w_n}{w_k} \frac{(\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$

Table : Umbral description of Riordan arrays

► traditional view

$\omega$ : umbra with moments  $\omega^n \simeq \frac{n!}{w_n}$ ,  $w_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $w_0 = 1$ .

# Umbral coding

FTRA  $(\gamma, \alpha)\eta = \gamma + \eta \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}$

Group multiplication  $(\gamma, \alpha)(\sigma, \rho) = (\gamma + \sigma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha + \rho \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}})$

Identity  $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$

Inverse  $(\gamma, \alpha)^{-1} = (\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha})$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \alpha} \equiv -1 \cdot \gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha} := \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \alpha}$ .

Subgroup	$(\gamma, \alpha)$	group multiplication	$(\gamma, \alpha)^{-1}$	$(\gamma, \alpha)_{n,k}$
Appell	$(\gamma, \varepsilon)$	$(\gamma, \varepsilon)(\sigma, \varepsilon) = (\gamma + \sigma, \varepsilon)$	$(-1 \cdot \alpha, \varepsilon)$	$\binom{n}{k} \gamma^{n-k}$
Associated	$(\varepsilon, \alpha)$	$(\varepsilon, \alpha)(\varepsilon, \rho) = (\varepsilon, \alpha + \rho \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}})$	$(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha})$	$\binom{n}{k} (k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}$
Bell	$(\alpha, \alpha)$	$(\alpha, \alpha)(\sigma, \sigma) = (\alpha + \sigma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha + \sigma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}})$	$(\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha})$	$\binom{n}{k} ((k+1) \cdot \alpha)^{n-k}$

Table : Some distinguished Riordan subgroups



# Abel's identity

## Classical formula

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (y + ka)^{n-k} x(x - ka)^{k-1}$$

## Umbral formula (Version I)

$$(\gamma + \sigma)^n \simeq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k} \sigma(\sigma + (-k) \cdot \alpha)^{k-1}$$

## Umbral Abel Identity (Version II) = FTRA

$$(\gamma + \eta \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_D)^n \simeq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\gamma + k \cdot \alpha)^{n-k} \eta^k .$$

# Abel polynomials and Lagrange inversion formula

## Definition (Abel polynomials)

Let  $\mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha}$  be the auxiliary umbra whose moments are given by

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha}^n \simeq \sigma(\sigma + (-n)\cdot\alpha)^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

## Theorem (Lagrange inversion formula)

For any umbrae  $\alpha, \gamma$  and all integers  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha}^n \simeq (\sigma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\langle -1 \rangle})^n. \quad \text{Equivalently, } \mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha} \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\langle -1 \rangle}. \quad (3)$$

In terms of g.f.'s, (2) and (3) give the familiar Lagrange inversion formula

$$n \left[ z^n \right] f_{\sigma} \left( (z f_{\alpha}(z))^{\langle -1 \rangle} \right) = \left[ z^{n-1} \right] f'_{\sigma}(z) \left( \frac{1}{f_{\alpha}(z)} \right)^n.$$

# Abel polynomials and Lagrange inversion formula

## Definition (Abel polynomials)

Let  $\mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha}$  be the auxiliary umbra whose moments are given by

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha}^n \simeq \sigma(\sigma + (-n)\cdot\alpha)^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

## Theorem (Lagrange inversion formula)

For any umbrae  $\alpha, \gamma$  and all integers  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha}^n \simeq (\sigma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\langle -1 \rangle})^n. \quad \text{Equivalently, } \mathfrak{K}_{\sigma,\alpha} \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\langle -1 \rangle}. \quad (3)$$

In terms of g.f.'s, (2) and (3) give the familiar Lagrange inversion formula

$$n \left[ z^n \right] f_{\sigma} \left( (z f_{\alpha}(z))^{\langle -1 \rangle} \right) = \left[ z^{n-1} \right] f'_{\sigma}(z) \left( \frac{1}{f_{\alpha}(z)} \right)^n.$$

## Some interesting computations

$$f_{\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}}(z) - 1 = z f_{\alpha}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (z f_{\alpha}(z))^m \simeq z^m e^{(m.\alpha)z}$$

$$e^{(\sigma.\beta.\alpha_{\mathcal{D}})z} \simeq e^{\sigma(zf_{\alpha}(z))} \simeq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^m (m.\alpha)^k \frac{z^m}{m!} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $\frac{z^n}{n!}$ , we obtain

$$(\sigma.\beta.\alpha_{\mathcal{D}})^n \simeq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \sigma^k (k.\alpha)^{n-k}. \quad (4)$$

By means of (4) and the [umbral Abel identity \(version II\)](#) it is easy to check that

$$(\alpha + \eta.\beta.\alpha_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \eta_{\mathcal{D}}.\beta.\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

# A main result

Simple useful umbral trick

$$\gamma + k.\alpha \equiv \gamma + (k - m).\alpha + m.\alpha \quad \forall k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

It then follows from the [umbral Abel identity \(Version I\)](#) that

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma + k.\alpha)^{n-k} &\simeq \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{i} (\gamma + (k - m).\alpha + i.\lambda)^{n-k-i} m.\alpha (m.\alpha + (-i).\lambda)^{i-1} \\ &\simeq (n-k)! \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \frac{(\gamma + (k - m).\alpha + i.\lambda)^{n-k-i}}{(n-k-i)!} \frac{m.\alpha (m.\alpha + (-i).\lambda)^{i-1}}{i!}.\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (A.-Mestre-Petrullo-Torres)

For any umbra  $\lambda$  and any integers  $m, n, k$ , with  $n \geq k$ , it holds

$$\omega(\gamma, \alpha)_{n,k} \simeq \frac{w_n}{w_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \frac{(m.\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha,\lambda})^i}{i!} \frac{(\gamma + (k - m).\alpha + i.\lambda)^{n-k-i}}{(n-k-i)!}.$$

# Corollaries

**1. Horizontal recurrence relation.** For any integer  $m$  such that  $n \geq m$ , it holds

$$\omega(\gamma, \alpha)_{n,k} \simeq \frac{w_n}{w_k w_{n-m}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} w_{k-m+i} \frac{(m \cdot \mathfrak{K}_{\alpha, \alpha})^i}{i!} \omega(\gamma, \alpha)_{n-m, k-m+i}.$$

**2. Vertical recurrence relation.** For any integer  $m$  such that  $k \geq m$ , it holds

$$\omega(\gamma, \alpha)_{n,k} \simeq \frac{w_n w_{k-m}}{w_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \frac{1}{w_{n-m-i}} \frac{(m \cdot \alpha)^i}{i!} \omega(\gamma, \alpha)_{n-m-i, k-m}.$$

**3. A novel recurrence relation.** For any integer  $m$  such that  $2k - n \geq m$ , it holds

$$\omega(\gamma, \alpha)_{n,k} \simeq \frac{w_n}{w_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \frac{w_{k-m-i}}{w_{n-m-2i}} \frac{(m \cdot \mathfrak{K}_{\alpha, -1 \cdot \alpha})^i}{i!} \omega(\gamma, \alpha)_{n-m-2i, k-m-i}.$$

## Some remarks are in order

- ① Our **horizontal recurrence relation** extends to generalized Riordan arrays the horizontal recurrence relation for ordinary Riordan arrays obtained recently by Luzón-Merlini-Morón-Sprugnoli [*Identities induced by Riordan arrays*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (3): 631–647 (2012)]. More explicitly, we have  $\frac{(m, \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha, \alpha})^i}{i!} \simeq a_i^{(m)}$ , where  $(a_i^{(m)})$  stands for a sequence that generalizes the classical  $A$ -sequence of Rogers [*Pascal triangles, Catalan numbers and Renewal Arrays*, Discrete Math. **22**: 301–310 (1978)],

$$R_{n+1, k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} a_j R_{n, k+j} \quad (A\text{-sequence of a Riordan array}).$$

- ② Our **vertical recurrence relation** extends to generalized Riordan arrays the vertical recurrence relation for ordinary Riordan arrays obtained by Luzón-Merlini-Morón-Sprugnoli.

# Outline

- 1 Riordan arrays
- 2 A renewed approach to the classical umbral calculus
- 3 An umbral symbolic approach to Riordan arrays. The umbral Abel identity
- 4 A family of Catalan arrays



# Pascal, Ballot and Catalan arrays

## Pascal array and its inverse

$$\text{exponential presentation: } \mathbf{P} = (v, \varepsilon) \quad , \quad \mathbf{P}^{-1} = (-1.v, \varepsilon)$$

$$\text{ordinary presentation: } \mathbf{P} =_{\bar{v}}(\bar{v}, \bar{v}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{P}^{-1} =_{\bar{v}}(\bar{v}. - 1, \bar{v}. - 1)$$

## Ballot array and its inverse

$$\text{ordinary presentation: } \mathbf{C} =_{\bar{v}}(\varsigma, \varsigma) \quad , \quad \mathbf{C}^{-1} =_{\bar{v}}(-1.\bar{v}, -1.\bar{v})$$

## Catalan array and its inverse

$$\text{ordinary presentation: } \mathbf{C}^{(1)} =_{\bar{v}}(2.\varsigma, 2.\varsigma) \quad , \quad (\mathbf{C}^{(1)})^{-1} =_{\bar{v}}(-2.\chi, -2.\chi)$$

We have

$$_{\bar{v}}(2.\varsigma, 2.\varsigma) \equiv_{\bar{v}}(\varsigma, \varsigma) \quad _{\bar{v}}(\bar{v}, \bar{v}); \quad \text{that is,}$$

$$_{\bar{v}}(-2.\chi, -2.\chi) \equiv_{\bar{v}}(\bar{v}. - 1, \bar{v}. - 1) \quad _{\bar{v}}(-1.\bar{v}, -1.\bar{v}); \quad \text{that is, } (\mathbf{C}^{(1)})^{-1} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{C}^{-1}$$



Correspondingly,

$$(\mathbf{C}^{(q)})^{-1} =_{\bar{v}}(-1.\bar{v}, -1.\bar{v})_{\bar{v}}(\bar{v}. - q, \bar{v}. - q)$$

The entries of  $(\mathbf{C}^{(q)})^{-1}$  are given explicitly by the following formula:

$$(\mathbf{C}^{(q)})_{n,k}^{-1} = (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \binom{i+k+1}{n-i-k} \binom{i+k}{k} q^i.$$

Properties of  $\mathbf{C}^{(q)}$  and  $(\mathbf{C}^{(q)})^{-1}$ :

- $\mathbf{C}_{n,k}^{(q)}$  and  $(-1)^{n-k}(\mathbf{C}^{(q)})_{n,k}^{-1}$  are polyn. in  $q$  with positive integer coeffs.
- $\mathbf{C}^{(q)} = \mathbf{C}$  when  $q = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{C}^{(q)} = \mathbf{C}^{(1)}$  when  $q = 1$ .
- $\forall n \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{n+1,1}^{(q)}$  has  $\deg \mathbf{C}_{n+1,1}^{(q)} = n$ , constant term  $C_{n+1}$  and leading coeff.  $n+1$ .
- $(\mathbf{C}^{(q)})^{-1}$  is the coefficient matrix of Chebyshev polynomials of 2nd. kind  $(U_n(\frac{x-2}{2}))$  when  $q = 1$ . Recall,  $\sum_{n \geq 0} U_n(x)z^n = \frac{1}{1-xz+z^2}$

# Open question

Find a combinatorial description of  $\mathbf{C}^{(q)}$  and  $(\mathbf{C}^{(q)})^{-1}$ .

# References



J. Agapito, A. Mestre, P. Petruccio and M. M. Torres, *A symbolic treatment of Riordan arrays*, Preprint (2012).



G. C. Rota and B. Taylor, *The classical umbral calculus*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **25**: 694–711 (1994).



E. di Nardo and D. Senato, *Umbral nature of the Poisson random variables*, *Algebraic Combinatorics and computer science*: 245–266, Springer, Italia (2001).



L. W. Shapiro, S. Getu, W-J. Woan and L. C. Woodson, *The Riordan group*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **34** (1-3): 229–239, (1991).



R. Sprugnoli, *Riordan arrays and combinatorial sums*, *Discrete Math.* **132**: 267–290 (1994).



A. Luzón, D. Merlini, M. A. Morón and R. Sprugnoli, *Identities induced by Riordan arrays*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **436** (3): 631–647 (2012).



P. Blasiak, G. Duchamp, A. Horzela, A. Solomon and K. Solomon, *One parameter groups and combinatorial physics*, *Proc. Third International Workshop on Contemporary Problems in Math. Physics*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 436–449 (2004).

Thank you!